BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) File No. 03-2007-188318)
RANDY SCOTT BAKER, M.D.)))
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 52554))))
Respondent.	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on $\,^{Ju1y}$ 23, $\,^{2010}$

DATED June 24, 2010

Shelton Durissem

Shelton Duruisseau, Ph.D.

Chair, Panel A

1	EDMUND G. Brown Jr.	
2	Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KERRY WEISEL	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 127522	
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5590 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF C	LALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 03 2007 188318
12	RANDY SCOTT BAKER, M.D.	
13	2955 Park Avenue	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	Soquel, CA 95073	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 52554	
16	Respondent.	
17		DDED 1 and 1 between the morting to the ghove
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
20	PARTIES To all the design of the second of	
21	1. At the time the Accusation was filed in this matter, Barbara Johnston was the	
22	Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Medical Board" or "Board"),	
23	Department of Consumer Affairs, and the Complainant in this matter. Linda K. Whitney is now	
24	the Executive Director of the Medical Board and takes Barbara Johnston's place as Complainant.	
25	This action was brought solely in Complainant's official capacity as the Executive Director of the	
26	Medical Board of California. Complainant is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr.,	
27	Attorney General of the State of California, by Kerry Weisel, Deputy Attorney General.	
28	///	
		1
		STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (03 2007 188318)

- Respondent Randy Scott Baker, M.D. ("Respondent") is representing himself. 2. Respondent is aware that he may retain counsel to represent him in this matter but he has chosen not to exercise that right.
- On or about June 25, 1984, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and 3. Surgeon's certificate Number G 52554 to Randy Scott Baker, M.D. Unless renewed, the certificate will expire on June 30, 2010.

JURISDICTION

The Board filed an Accusation in Case No. 03 2007 188318 on January 29, 2010 and 4. served the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents on Respondent on the same date. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of the Accusation, which is currently pending against Respondent, is attached as exhibit A and is incorporated in this document by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in the 5. Accusation in Case No. 03 2007 188318. Respondent has also carefully read and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 6. hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and 7. every right set forth above.

26 27 ///

///

24

25

/// 28

///

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in the Accusation in Case No. 03 2007 188318, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent understands that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation and that he has therefore subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Medical Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel if he should retain one. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its order, the stipulated settlement, except for this paragraph, shall be of no force or effect. The stipulated settlement shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A. PUBLIC REPRIMAND

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Randy Scott Baker, M.D., Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 52554, shall be and hereby is publicly reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This public reprimand, which is issued in connection with Respondent's treatment of patients TC and CS as set forth in the Accusation in Case No. 03 2007 188318, is as follows:

You failed to obtain written informed consent or to document that you discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous vitamin therapy with patients TC and CS before administering the therapy to them and, when TC refused to take any medication more than once every 4 to 5 days, you prescribed small amounts of various psychotropic medications in different classes at the same time for her to rotate to see how each worked, as more fully described in the Accusation in Case No. 03 2007 188318.

B. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall, at his own expense, enroll in the PACE Program's Medical Record Keeping Course or a comparable medical record keeping course approved in advance by the Board or its designee.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall complete the Program no later than six months after initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later. Failure to participate in or successfully complete the medical record keeping course outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

C. EDUCATION COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit educational program(s) or course(s) in the area of the use and prescribing of psychotropic medications to the Board or its designee for prior approval, which courses shall not be less than 20 hours and shall be Category I certified, limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. The courses shall be completed within one year of the effective date of the Board's Decision in this matter. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 45 hours of continuing medical education of which 20 hours were in satisfaction of this condition. Failure to take the educational courses outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 5/20/10

RANDY SCOTT BAKER, M.D.

Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: May 24, 2010

Respectfully Submitted,

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KERRY WEISEL

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	-
23	
24	Exhibit A
25	Accusation No. 03 2007 188318
26	
27	
28	
	1

DECISION AND ORDER (03 2007 188318)

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA EDMUND G. BROWN JR. 1 SACBAMENTO Attorney General of California ANALYS1 2 JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General KERRY WEISEL 3 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 127522 4 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 5 Telephone: (415) 703-5590 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 6 7 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 03 2007 1888318 13 RANDY SCOTT BAKER, M.D. 14 **ACCUSATION** 2955 Park Avenue 15 Soquel, CA 95073 16 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 52554 17 Respondent. 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 Barbara Johnston (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 21 as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California. 22 On or about June 25, 1984, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and 23 2. Surgeon's certificate Number G.52554 to Randy Scott Baker, M.D. ("respondent"). The 24 Physician's and Surgeon's certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 25 charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on June 30, 2010, unless renewed. 26 27 /// 28 ///

Accusation

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
- 5. Section 2234 of the Code provides in pertinent part that the Board "shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating . . . any provision of this chapter.

" "

- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

"..."

6. Section 2266 of the Code provides that "[t]he failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

24.25.

DRUGS

- 7. Ativan is a trade name for lorazepam, a psychotropic drug for the management of anxiety disorders or for the short-term relief of the symptoms of anxiety. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a schedule IV controlled substance as defined by section 11057, subdivision (d) of the Health and Safety Code. It has a central nervous system depressant effect. Lorazepam can produce psychological and physical dependence and it should be prescribed with caution particularly to addiction-prone individuals (such as drug addicts and alcoholics) because of the predisposition of such patients to habituation and dependence.
- 8. Doxepin, a generic medication also sold under the trade name Sinequan, is a tricyclic antidepressant. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for treatment of depression and anxiety. Optimal antidepressant effect may not be evident for two to three weeks.
- 9. Flexeril is a trade name for cyclobenzaprine HCl, a muscle-relaxant. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. Flexeril may enhance the effects of alcohol, barbiturates, and other CNS depressants. It is closely related to tricyclic antidepressants such as amitriptyline and imipramine.
- 10. Hydroxyzine, sold under the trade names Atarax, Vistaril, and others, reduces activity in the central nervous system and also acts as an antihistamine. It is used as a sedative to treat anxiety and tension. Hydroxyzine is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022.
 - 11. Lorazepam. See Ativan.
- 12. Lyrica, a trade name for pregabalin, an antiepileptic medication, is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a schedule V controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11058 of the Health and Safety Code. Lyrica is indicated for management of neuropathic pain associated with diabetic peripheral neuropathy, management of postherpetic neuralgia, adjunctive therapy for adult patients with partial onset seizures, and management of fibromyalgia. If Lyrica is discontinued, it should be tapered gradually over a minimum of one week. Stopping Lyrica suddenly may cause headaches, nausea, diarrhea, or trouble sleeping.

- 13. Neurontin, a trade name for gabapentin, is an antiepileptic and is indicated as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of partial seizures with and without secondary generalization in adults with epilepsy. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022. The most commonly observed adverse events associated with the use of Neurontin in combination with other antiepileptic drugs were somnolence, dizziness, ataxia, fatigue, and nystagmus.
- 14. Parafon Forte, a trade name for chlorzoxazone, is a muscle relaxant. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code. Parafon Forte is used to treat skeletal muscle conditions such as pain or injury. The concomitant use of alcohol or other central nervous system depressants may have an additive effect.
- 15. Promethazine HCI is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. It has antihistaminic, sedative, antimotion-sickness, antiemetic, and anticholinergic effects and may be used as a preoperative sedative. The concomitant use of alcohol, sedative hypnotics (including barbiturates), general anesthetics, narcotics, narcotic analgesics, tranquilizers or other central nervous system depressants may have additive sedative effects and patients should be warned accordingly. Promethazine HCI may significantly affect the actions of other drugs. It may increase, prolong, or intensify the sedative action of central-nervous-system depressants.
 - 16. Restoril. See temazepam.
 - 17. Sinequan. See doxepin.
- 18. Seroquel, a trade name for quetiapine fumarate, is an antipsychotic medication used to treat the symptoms of psychotic conditions such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022.
- 19. Soma is a trade name for carisoprodol tablets; carisoprodol is a muscle-relaxant and sedative. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. Since the effects of carisoprodol and alcohol or carisoprodol and other central nervous system depressants or psychotropic drugs may be additive, appropriate caution should be exercised with patients who take more than one of these agents simultaneously. Carisoprodol is metabolized in the liver and excreted by the

///

2.6

kidneys; to avoid its excess accumulation, caution should be exercised in administration to patients with compromised liver or kidney functions.

- 20. Temazepam is a hypnotic agent, sold under the trade name Restoril. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a schedule IV controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11057, subdivision (d) of the Health and Safety Code. Temazepam is indicated for the short-term treatment of insomnia (generally 7-10 days). Patients using temazepam should be warned about the possible combined effects with alcohol and other central nervous system depressants. The recommended usual adult dosage is one 15 mg. tablet before retiring.
- 21. Trazodone, sold under the trade name Desyrel, is an antidepressant medication and a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is used to treat depression.
- 22. Ultram, a trade name for tramadol hydrochloride, is a centrally acting synthetic analgesic compound. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code. Ultram is indicated for the management of moderate to moderately severe pain.
- 23. Zyprexa, a trade name for olanzapine, is a psychotropic agent that belongs to the thienobenzodiazepine class. It is a dangerous drug as defined by section 4022. Zyprexa is indicated for the management of the manifestations of psychotic disorders, the treatment of schizophrenia, and the short term treatment of the acute manic episodes associated with Bipolar I disorder.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts, Inadequate Documentation)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivisions (a) and (c), and section 2266 in that he failed to obtain written informed consent or document that he discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy before administering intravenous vitamin therapy to Patient TC¹ and in that he failed to consult with the physicians who treated TC's

The patients are referred to in this document by their initials to protect their privacy. Respondent knows the names of the patients and can confirm their identities through discovery.

somatoform disorder in the hospital and he prescribed small amounts of various psychotropic medications in different classes for TC at the same time to rotate to see how each worked. The circumstances are as follows:

- 25. Respondent first saw Patient TC, then 41 years old, in June 2005. She was housebound so he made a house call to see her after she had had two telephone consultations with his physician assistant. She had a history of low back injury and degenerative disc disease, had been hospitalized for eight months for low body weight, and had been treated for four months with intravenous antibiotics for a staph infection from decubit in her hips. She was cachectic with a weight of around 80 pounds, had a decubitus ulcer on her left hip, and complained of diffuse pain, night sweats, insomnia, temperature dysregulation, and severe exhaustion.

 Respondent ran some laboratory tests and determined that she was anemic and in a state of poor nutrition. He advised her to take protein powder, iron supplements, and fish oil and increase the amount of red meat in her diet.
- 26. Over the time that respondent saw TC, she became more anemic and developed hypothyroidism and elevated liver enzymes. She was non-compliant with most of respondent's suggestions stating that she could not tolerate the supplements and medications he prescribed.
- 27. On August 31, 2007, without obtaining written informed consent or documenting that he had discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with her, respondent administered intravenous vitamin therapy to TC.
- 28. On October 6, 2007, TC was taken to the emergency room at Dominican Hospital by her brother. TC was admitted to the hospital where she was diagnosed with somatoform disorder, malnutrition, and hypokalemia, among other diagnoses. She was hydrated intravenously and her potassium level restored and was fed both by mouth and by nasogastric tube. The hospital physician started her on Seroquel and promethazine (for nausea) and she was discharged on those drugs and various vitamins and minerals on October 27, 2007.
- 29. Respondent continued to care for TC after she was discharged from the hospital. He did not consult with any of the physicians who cared for her while she was hospitalized to discuss her treatment.

///

///

- 30. When respondent saw TC on November 28, 2007, he discussed with her rotating medications in the Seroquel family. He prescribed a trial of Doxepin, Restoril, and Flexeril.
- 31. On December 14, 2007, again without obtaining written informed consent or documenting that he had discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with her, respondent administered intravenous vitamin therapy to TC. Also at this visit, respondent wrote in TC's chart notes that she wanted to try a variety of medications to help her with sleep, pain, anxiety, and muscle spasms to see how they worked. He documented that she was afraid to take any medication more than once every 4 to 5 days due to her concern that she would develop intolerance to it. Respondent prescribed twenty tablets each of doxepin (25 mg), hydroxyzine (25 mg), trazodone (50 mg), temazepam (15 mg), Neurontin (100 mg), tramadol (50 mg.), Lyrica (50 mg), Parafon Forte, Soma (350 mg), lorazepam (1 mg), and promethazine suppositories (25 mg) and 10 tablets of Zyprexa (2.5 mg). It is not clear from the chart notes whether TC was still taking Seroquel at this time. Dosing directions are not included in the chart notes.
- 32. On July 25, 2008, respondent again administered intravenous vitamin therapy to TC without obtaining written informed consent or documenting that he had discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with her.
- 33. Respondent's license is subject to discipline and respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2234, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 2266 of the Code in that he was negligent in and failed adequately to document his care and treatment of RS including but not limited to the following:
 - A. Failing to obtain written informed consent or to document that he discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with TC before administering intravenous vitamin therapy to her; and
 - B. Failing to consult with the physicians who treated TC's somatoform disorder in the hospital and prescribing small amounts of various psychotropic medications in different classes at the same time to rotate to see how each worked.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts, Inadequate Documentation)

- 34. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivisions (a) and (c), and section 2266 in that he failed to obtain written informed consent or document that he discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy before administering intravenous vitamin therapy to Patient CS and in that he failed to obtain a chemistry panel during the initial testing of CS's blood, failed to recommend testing to determine the source of a possible bacterial infection, failed to discuss the risks of an unknown bacterial infection with CS, and administered intravenous vitamin therapy without knowing CS's electrolyte status. The circumstances are as follows:
- 35. Respondent first saw Patient CS, a 66 year old man, on September 19, 2007. CS complained of a six week history of pain in his chest, neck, hip, knee, and shoulder. CS was very distrustful of and did not want to have conventional medical treatment. He had not seen a medical doctor for twenty years and wanted to try homeopathic treatments. Respondent's examination revealed an enlarged liver, moderate lower extremity edema, and tenderness over the scapula. Among respondent's differential diagnoses were acute inflammatory condition, Lyme Disease, and autoimmune disorder. CS refused testing.
- 36. Respondent next saw CS on September 24, 2007. He was still in a lot of pain and complained of having had a nose bleed a couple of days before. He consented to have blood tests and respondent ordered a CBC and fibrinogen testing.
- 37. CS's next visit was on September 27, 2007. The blood tests showed a slightly elevated white cell count and respondent felt that CS had a bacterial infection. There is no indication that respondent recommended additional testing to determine the source of any infection. CS refused antibiotics. Respondent recommended an herbal medicine, additional testing and intravenous vitamin therapy. He did not document that he had advised CS of the potential consequences of an untreated or undiagnosed bacterial infection.
- 38. CS returned to see respondent on October 1, 2007. He described feeling worse with increased pain in his legs and hips and having trouble standing. He had swelling at the left

clavicular head. Respondent ordered a chemistry panel and an x-ray of the left clavicle and administered intravenous vitamin therapy without knowing CS's electrolyte status or obtaining written informed consent or documenting that he had discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with CS.

- 39. Respondent's chart notes for CS's October 4, 2007 visit reflect that CS's blood test results showed multiple abnormalities and that the x-ray of his clavicle reflected a lytic lesion. Respondent wrote that the clinical picture was consistent with cancer of unknown origin metastasized to the bone and liver. He discussed the diagnosis and prognosis with CS and CS's partner. CS was adamant that he did not want surgery, radiation, or chemotherapy.
- 40. On October 10, 2007, CS was too weak to come to the office so respondent made a home visit to see him. CS asked for intravenous vitamin therapy and, again without obtaining written informed consent or documenting that he had discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with CS, respondent administered the therapy. There is also no documentation that respondent had checked CS's fluid status or the ability of his heart and liver to handle the fluids given.
- 41. Respondent's license is subject to discipline and respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of sections 2234, subdivisions (a) and (c), and 2266 of the Code in that he was negligent in and failed adequately to document his care and treatment of CS including but not limited to the following:
 - A. Failing to obtain written informed consent or document that he discussed the risks and benefits of intravenous therapy with CS before administering intravenous vitamin therapy to him; and
 - B. Failing to obtain a chemistry panel during the initial testing of CS's blood, failing to recommend testing to determine the source of a possible bacterial infection, failing to discuss the risks of an unknown bacterial infection with CS, and administering intravenous vitamin therapy without knowing CS's electrolyte status.

///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that, following the hearing, the Medical Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's certificate Number G 52554, issued to Randy Scott Baker, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending, or denying approval of Randy Scott-Baker's authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 3. Ordering Randy Scott Baker, if placed on probation, to pay the costs of probation monitoring; and
- 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: JAway 29 2010

BARBARA JOHNSTON

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

State of California Complainant

SF2010400096 40427046.docx

Accusation