BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:))			
JEFF HARRIS, M.D. Certificate #A-24797) File No: 05-94-36020			
Respondent.)) _)			
DECISION AN	D ORDER			
The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby accepted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.				
This Decision shall become effective on	March 12, 1997			
DATED February 10, 1997.				
	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
	I hubel			

Ira Lubell, M.D. Chair, Panel A

	•	
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	KAREN B. CHAPPELLE,	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212	
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-8944	
5		
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE	
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 05-94-36020	
12	Against:) OAH No. L-9604195	
13	JEFF HARRIS, M.D. 6315 Gayton Place Malibu, California 90265 Malibu, California 90265 Malibu, California 90265	
14) DISCIPLINARY ORDER Physician and Surgeon's	
15	Certificate No. A24797,	
16	Respondent.)	
17		
- 18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the	
19	parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following	
20	matters are true:	
21	1. An Accusation in case number 05-94-36020 was filed	
22	with the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of	
23	California Department of Consumer Affairs (the "Division") on	
24	February 1, 1996, and is currently pending against Jeff Harris,	
25	M.D. (the "respondent").	
26	2. The Accusation, together with all statutorily	
27	required documents, was duly served on the respondent on or about	
	U Company of the Comp	

February 1, 1996, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about February 14, 1996.

- 3. The Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Karen B. Chappelle.
- 4. The respondent is represented in this matter by Ronald S. Marks, Esq., whose address is 400 South Beverly Drive, Suite 101, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 5. The respondent and his attorney have fully discussed the charges contained in Accusation number 05-94-36020, and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A24797.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily

and irrevocably waives and give up each of these rights.

1:

- 8. Respondent admits that he has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A24797 to disciplinary action, in that respondent, on numerous occasions between August 1989 and October 1993 prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished a controlled substance, Halcion, without medical indication or justification and without a prior good faith examination of patient R.K., in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2242. Accordingly, respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 9. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number A24797 issued to Jeff Harris, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions. Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision, the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or where respondent is employed to practice medicine, and on the Chief Executive Officer at every

insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- of the effective date of this decision, or as soon as practicable, respondent shall enroll in a course in Prescribing Practices in Pharmacology, approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- 2. CONTROLLED DRUGS MAINTAIN RECORD Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances prescribed, dispensed or administered by respondent during probation, including pharmaceutical samples, showing all the following: (1) the name and address of the patient, (2) the date, (3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved, and (4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall maintain these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, and shall furnish these records to his probation surveillance monitor for inspection and copying.

- 3. ETHICS COURSE Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
 - 4. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the

effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's prescribing, dispensing or administering of all scheduled drugs shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

- 5. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 6. QUARTERLY REPORTS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 7. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his addresses of business and residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division,

in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS

<u>DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE NON-9. In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in any makes intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

- of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
 - 11. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If respondent violates

probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

1:

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 $\cdot 11^{-1}$

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The respondent is hereby ordered to 12. COST RECOVERY reimburse the Division the amount of \$5,000.00 for its investigative and prosecution costs. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the decision, respondent shall pay the amount of \$2,000.00 to the Division. Thereafter, respondent shall pay the amount of \$1,000.00 to the Division on January 1st of each year until the total amount of \$5,000.00 is paid in full. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.
- associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304.00, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar

year. Failure to pay costs within thirty (30) days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

24 | //

1.6

25 | //

26 | //

27 | //

1	//
2	//
3	//
4	//
5	//
6	//
7	//
8	
9	ACCEPTANCE
10	I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and
11	Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and
12	conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney,
13	Ronald S. Marks. I understand the effect this Stipulated
14	Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my Physician's and
15	Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter
16	this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and
17	voluntarily.
18	DATED: 11/9/96
19	And I am
20	JEFE HARRIS, M.D.
21	Respondent
22	
23	
24	I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and many
25	Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. I
26	have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters.
~ ~	Total Manager Total Manager M. D

1	DATED: 11/12/96
2	
3	RONALD S. MARKS
4	RONALD S. MARKS Attorney for Respondent
5	//
6	
7	
8	//
9	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
10	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
11	Order is hereby respectfully submitted for the consideration of
12	the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California
13	Department of Consumer Affairs.
14	DATED: NOURINDET 181996
15	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
16	of the State of California
17	Lason Chapalla
18	RAREN B. CHAPPELLE Deputy Attorney General
19	Attorneys for Complainant
20	According to Lor compagnitude
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	KAREN B. CHAPPELLE, Deputy Attorney General	
3	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212	
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-2578	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL OHALITY	
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 05-94-36020	
12	Against:)	
13	JEFF HARRIS, M.D. 6315 Gayton Place) A C C U S A T I O N	
14	Malibu, California 90265)	
15	Physician and Surgeon's (Certificate No. A24797,	
16	Respondent.)	
17		
18	The Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director	
21	of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and	
22	brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.	
23	2. On or about July 3, 1972, Physician's and	
24	Surgeon's Certificate No. A24797 was issued by the Medical Board	
25	of California to Jeff Harris M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"), and	
26	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license	
27	111	
27	///	

has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on June 30, 1997.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Sections 2003 and 2004 which provide, in pertinent part, that the Division is responsible for the enforcement of the disciplinary provisions of the Medical Practice Act, for the administration and hearing of disciplinary actions, for carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, and for revoking or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
 - B. Section 2220 which provides:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter. The division shall enforce and administer this article as to physician and surgeon certificate holders, and the division shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes including, but not limited to:

"(a) Investigating complaints from the public, from other licensees, from health care facilities, or

27 1///

from a division of the board that a physician and surgeon may be guilty of unprofessional conduct.

- "(b) Investigating the circumstances of practice of any physician and surgeon where there have been any judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards requiring the physician and surgeon or his or her professional liability insurer to pay an amount in damages in excess of a cumulative total of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) with respect to any claim that injury or damage was proximately caused by the physician's and surgeon's error, negligence, or omission.
- "(c) Investigating the nature and causes of injuries from cases which shall be reported of a high number of judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards against a physician and surgeon."
- C. Section 2227 which provides:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
 - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.

27 | ///

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	€
9	
10	ļ I
1 1	r
12	·
13	
14	ā
15	
16	ı
17	=
18	
19	
20	
21	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

- "(3) Be placed on probation upon order of the division.
 - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the division.
- "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board."
 - D. Section 2234 which provides:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

" . . .

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
- "(d) Incompetence.

" *"*

E. Section 726 which provides:

"The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division, under any

initiative act referred to in this division and under Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3."

- F. Section 729 which provides in pertinent part:
- "(a) Any physician and surgeon, psychotherapist, or any person holding himself or herself out to be a physician and surgeon or psychotherapist, who engages in an act of sexual intercourse, sodomy, oral copulation, or sexual contact with a patient or client, . . . is guilty of sexual exploitation by a physician and surgeon or psychotherapist.

"For purposes of subdivision (a), in no instance shall consent of the patient or client be a defense. However, physicians and surgeons shall not be guilty of sexual exploitation for touching any intimate part of a patient or client unless the touching is outside the scope of medical examination and treatment, or the touching is done for sexual gratification.

"Sexual contact" means sexual intercourse or the touching of an intimate part of a patient for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, or abuse."

- G. Section 2238 which provides that violation of any federal or state statute or regulation regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- H. Section 2241 which provides in pertinent part, that unless otherwise provided by this section, the prescribing, selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to prescribe, sell, furnish, give

away, or administer any of the drugs or compounds mentioned in section 2239 (which includes all dangerous drugs, controlled substances and alcohol) to an addict or habitue constitutes unprofessional conduct.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- I. Section 2242 subdivision (a) which provides that prescribing, dispensing or furnishing dangerous drugs without prior examination and medical indication constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- J. Health and Safety Code section 11152 provides that no person shall write, issue, fill, compound or dispense a prescription that does not conform to the Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code section 11000 et.seq.).
- Health and Safety Code section 11153 provides, in Κ. pertinent part, that a prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner action in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research and (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized methadone maintenance program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

- L. Health and Safety Code section 11190 provides that every practitioner other than a pharmacist who issues a prescription for Schedule II controlled substance, or dispenses or administers a Schedule II controlled substance, shall make a record which, as to each such transaction, shows the name and address of the patient, the date of the transaction, the character, including the name, strength, and quantity of the controlled substance and the pathology and purpose for which the prescription is issued or the controlled substance is administered, prescribed or dispensed. Health and Safety Code section 11191 requires that such records be preserved for three years.
- M. Health and Safety Code section 11164 subdivision

 (a) provides, in pertinent part, that each prescription for a Schedule II controlled substance shall be wholly written in ink or indelible pencil in the handwriting of the prescriber upon an official triplicate blank and that each prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber and contain the name and address of the patient, the name, quantity, and strength of the controlled substance prescribed, directions and the address, category of professional licensure and the federal controlled substance registration number of the prescriber.
- N. Health and Safety Code section 1168 requires that the prescriber keep the prescription containing his or her copies of such prescriptions for a period of three years.

1.7

At all times pertinent herein, the following were Ο. 1 controlled substances pursuant to Health and Safety Code 2 sections 11057 or 11058: 3 Halcion (triazolam, a benzodiazepine) 4 2. Valium (diazepam, a benzodiazepine) 5 3. Dalmane (flurazepam, a benzodiazepine) 6 4. Darvocet (propoxyphene, a narcotic) 7 5. Diazepam (a benzodiazepine) 8 6. Fluraxepam (a benzodiazepine) 9 7. Doral (quazepam, a benzodiazepine) 10 Section 125.3 provides, in part, that the Board 11 may request the administrative law judge to direct any 12 licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations 1.3 of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed 14 the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of 15 the case. 16 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 17 (Sexual Misconduct With a Patient) 18 Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to 19 disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code 20 section 726, in that he engaged in a sexual relationship with a 21 The circumstances of this offense are as follows: patient. 22 In or about May 24, 1984, respondent commenced 23 rendering medical services to a female patient, $R.K.^{1/2}$ then 24 25 All references to the patient in this pleading are by aly. The true name of the patient will be revealed to 26 initials only.

respondent in the course of administrative discovery pursuant

Government Code section 11507.6.

21 years of age. Medical services were rendered at respondent's office located at 23440 Civic Center Way, Malibu, County of Los Angeles, California. R.K continued receiving treatment from respondent in the course of office visits until on or about October 1993. Respondent charged professional fees for these services until they began engaging in sexual relations in 1989.

- B. As treatment progressed, respondent prescribed for R.K. many controlled substances within the meaning of Health and Safety Code sections 11057 and 11058 namely: Halcion, Valium, Naprosyn, Doxycycline, Dalmane, Retin-A, Anaprox, Augmentin, Darvocet, Voltaren, Cipro, Diazepam, Flurazepam, and Doral, among others. As a result, R.K. became addicted to Halcion.
- C. In or about August 1989, while engaged in the ongoing medical diagnoses and treatment of R.K. for sinusitis, allergies, weight loss, rashes and insomnia, respondent commenced a course of conduct calculated to achieve the seduction of his patient in order to encourage her to engage in inappropriately intimate and sexual activity with him, as follows:
- (1) In August of 1989 respondent invited R.K. out to dinner with respondent and respondent's wife. When respondent arrived to pick up R.K., his wife was not present and respondent informed R.K. that his wife was in New York. After dinner they had sexual intercourse. A relationship

27 | ///

- with R.K., he did not charge her for office visits. She did not pay for her medications because respondent provided her with pharmaceutical samples. Each time R.K tried to sever the sexual relationship with respondent, respondent refused to provide her medications. When R.K requested medication, respondent personally delivered various medications, including Halcion to R.K.'s house. While there, respondent engaged in sexual intercourse with her. Respondent provided R.K. with so many drug samples that her house was like a "pharmacy."
- (3) R.K. informed respondent that the Halcion was making her head feel heavy and she felt tired. R.K. began feeling depressed, started having problems with memory loss and felt suicidal. Respondent laughed and minimized her complaints.
- (4) R.K. complained to respondent that she was experiencing abdominal pains and was having irregular periods. Eight months later, respondent performed a pelvic examination. The pap smear returned abnormal, and respondent referred R.K. to a gynecologist.
- (5) The gynecologist performed a cervical freezing procedure on R.K and advised her against intercourse for a period of several weeks. Two or three days later, respondent personally delivered medication to R.K. at her

- (6) In or about mid-October 1993, R.K. was sick and was suffering with a temperature of 104 degrees. Although R.K. phoned respondent at least nine times, respondent did not return her telephone calls.
- (7) Numerous times throughout this period of time,
 R.K. tried to break off the affair with respondent, in part,
 because she felt guilty about his marriage. She continued
 the relationship because she could not afford to see another
 doctor and needed the various medications which respondent
 provided her.
- (8) Numerous times throughout this period of time, respondent telephoned various prescriptions including Halcion for R.K. without seeing her. Respondent did not always differentiate in R.K.'s patient file between which drugs he had prescribed over the phone from those had prescribed in person. In many instances, no notation is contained in the file for prescriptions which where written.
- (9) Respondent continued medical treatment of R.K. despite having lost clinical objectivity and despite feelings of attraction.
 - (10) Treatment ended on or about November 5, 1993.

26 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 | ///

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Sexual Exploitation)

_

5. Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 729 of the Business and Professions Code for sexual exploitation in that he engaged in acts of sexual intercourse with a patient. The circumstances are set forth in paragraph 4 subparagraphs A, through C inclusive, above and are incorporated herein by references as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Gross Negligence)

disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivision (b) for gross negligence for engaging in a sexual relationship with patient R.K. while the patient was under his ongoing care, treatment and management. The circumstances of this offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, subparagraphs A through C, inclusive, above, and are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

7. Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivisions (c), in that respondent, between August 1989 and October 1993, engaged in an ongoing sexual relationship with a patient during the time the patient was under respondent's care, treatment and management, and during this

time, respondent committed repeated acts of negligence in that he repeatedly prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished controlled substances or other drugs without medical indication to patient R.K. The circumstances of this offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, subparagraphs A through C, inclusive, above, and are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

8. Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivisions (d), in that respondent, between August 1989 and October 1993, engaged in an ongoing sexual relationship with a patient during the time the patient was under respondent's care, treatment and management, and during this time, respondent demonstrated incompetence in that he repeatedly prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished controlled substances or other drugs without medical indication to patient R.K. The circumstances of this offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, subparagraphs A through C, inclusive, above, and are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Furnishing Drugs to Addict)

9. Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2241, in that respondent, between August 1989 and October

1993 repeatedly prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished controlled substances and other drugs without medical indication or justification and without a prior good faith medical examination to patient R.K. The circumstances of this offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, above, and are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully.

1.4

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs Without Prior Examination)

disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2242 in that respondent, between August 1989 and October 1993, repeatedly prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished controlled substances and other drugs without medical indication or justification to R.K, with knowledge that R.K was an addict and habitue. The circumstances of this offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, subparagraphs A through C, inclusive, above, and are incorporated herein by reference as though set forth fully.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dishonest Or Corrupt Acts)

11. Respondent Jeff Harris, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivision (e) for dishonest or corrupt acts in that respondent was, between August 1989 and October 1993, prescribed, administered, dispensed, or otherwise furnished controlled substances and other drugs without medical indication

or justification to R.K. in exchange for R.K.'s promise to engage 1 in sexual intercourse with respondent. The circumstances of this 2 offense are more particularly described in paragraph 4, 3 subparagraphs A through C inclusive, above, and are incorporated 4 herein by reference as though set forth fully. 5 PRAYER 6 WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be 7 held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the 8 hearing, the Division issue a decision: 9 Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's 10 Certificate Number A24797, heretofore issued to respondent Jeff 11 12 Harris, M.D.; Revoking, suspending, or denying Physician 2. 13 Assistant License and approval of the respondent's authority to 1.4 supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to Business and 15 Professions Code section 3527; 1.6 Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual 17 and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this 18 case; and 19 Taking such other and further action as the 4. 20 Division deems proper. 21 DATED: February 1, 1996 22 23 24 Executive Director Medical Board of California 25 A LANG TO TWO Department of Consumer Affairs の情報の表現である。**はStat**e of California 26

Complainant