Specialties

Frank Anthony SHALLENBERGER

License Number:

4951 License Type:

Medical Doctor License Status:

Active

Initial License Date:

Jan-07-1984

Expiration Date:

Jun-30-2025

Public Address:

Public City:

Carson City

Public State:

Nevada

Public ZIP Code: 89703

Public Country:

United States

Public Phone Number:

Credential:

M.D.

Specialty

Internal Medicine

Homeopthathy

Education History

Institution	Degree/Certificate	Date Enrolled	Date To
University of Maryland / Baltimore, MD	Medical Doctor Degree	N/A	Jun-01-1973

Postgraduate Training

Institution	Program Type	Specialty Type	Start Date	End Date
Mt Zion Hospital & Medical Ctr / San Francisco, CA	Internship	Surgery,General	Jun-24-1973	Jun-23-1974

Board Actions

Summary		Attachments
STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT	NOVEMBER 3, 1995	
The Board entered into a Stipulation for Set	tlement with Dr. Shallenberger and ordered that he receive a public written	

reprimand and pay the administrative and investigative costs incurred by the board.

N/A

Settlement Agreement and Final Order: Two (2) pages

Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners Attachments Summarv FORMAL COMPLAINT May 22, 2006 The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners filed a formal Complaint against Frank Shallenberger, M.D. (Respondent), on May 22, 2006, for alleged substandard medical care rendered to a seventy-six year old male patient, as per Count I (violation of NRS 630.301(4)). Respondent allegedly violated Section 630.301 of N/A the Nevada Revised Statutes by failing to use reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances. Complaint: four (4) Pages SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT September 14, 2007 A Settlement Agreement was approved and accepted by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), whereby, Frank Shallenberger M.D. (Respondent), by not contesting, hereby agrees, and does not contest, that an order may be entered herein by the Board finding that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act, to wit: that in treating the patient referenced in the original complaint filed by the Investigative Committee (IC), Respondent's allopathic care and associated medical record keeping of the underlying patient were inconsistent with the appropriate standard of care that should have been applied based upon the circumstances, and therefore tantamount to a violation of NRS 630.301(4), that Respondent shall be publicly reprimanded, the Respondent shall be fined in the amount of \$5,000.00, and that within one year of the acceptance, N/A adoption and approval of this agreement, Respondent shall complete sixteen (16) hours of Continuing Medical Education (CME) regarding the subject of cancer screening and diagnosis, which are to be approved by the IC Chairman in advance of their accomplishment. The aforementioned CME's are to be in addition to any CME requirements which are regularly imposed upon Respondent as a condition of licensure in the State of Nevada. Further, Respondent shall reimburse the Board the reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of this case in the current amount of \$6,457.57, plus any additional costs that may be accrued subsequent in the disposition of this matter. The aforementioned fine and costs are to be paid to the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners within sixty (60) days of the acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement by the Board. Settlement Agreement: six (6) Pages COMPLIANCE September 18, 2008 Dr. Shallenberger completed all terms and conditions of his September 14, 2007, Settlement, Waiver and Consent N/A Agreement. FORMAL COMPLAINT **JULY 31, 1995** The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners filed a formal Complaint against Dr. N/A Shallenberger due to the surrender of his California medical license. Copies; Complaint 3 pages FORMAL COMPLAINT Case No. 23-7127-1 April 21, 2023 The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners filed a formal Complaint against Frank Anthony Shallenberger, M.D. alleging six (6) violations of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630. Count I and Complaint 23-7127-Count IV: Allege violation of NRS 630.301(4) Malpractice. Count II, Count III and Count V: Allege violation of NRS 1.pdf 630.3062(1)(a) Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records. Count VI: Alleges a violation of NRS 630.306(1)(c) Unlawful Prescribing of Controlled Substance or Dangerous Drug. Complaint: eight (8) pages. COMPLIANCE Case No. 23-7127-1 May 20, 2024

Completed all terms of the settlement agreement for the above case as of May 16, 2024.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER Case No. 23-7127-1 December 1, 2023

On December 1, 2023, the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) accepted and approved a Settlement Agreement which allowed for an order to be entered finding that Dr. Shallenberger violated NRS 630.3062(1)(a) and NRS 630.306(1)(c), as set forth in Counts II, III, V and VI of the Complaint, and ordered the following: Dr. Shallenberger shall receive a public reprimand; pay a fine in the amount of \$5,000; complete 22 hours of Continuing Medical Education (CME), in addition to his statutory CME requirements for licensure; and reimburse the Board's fees and costs incurred in the investigation and prosecution of the case. Counts I and IV of the Complaint were dismissed with prejudice.

Settlement Agreement and Order - 23-7127-1.pdf

Settlement Agreement and Order: eight (8) pages.

Current Employment Status / Conditions / Restrictions on License / Prior Malpractice Claims

Summary	Attachments
PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY CLAIM, SETTLEMENT, OR JUDGEMENT OF \$5,000 OR MORE: 1) Date received by the Board: 06/14/2007 Reported by: MLAN nka INdependent Nevada Doctors Insurance Exchange Date of act/omission: 04/24/2003 Details: Failure to diagnose colon cancer resulted in death. Settlement amount: \$300,000 Total pages: 2	N/A

Malpractice Information

Summary	Attachments
None.	

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners 9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint Against:

FRANK ANTHONY SHALLENBERGER, M.D., Respondent.

Case No. 23-7127-1

الماللا

DEC 0 1 2023

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS By:

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Investigative Committee (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Donald K. White, Senior Deputy General Counsel for the Board and attorney for the IC, and Frank Anthony Shallenberger, M.D. (Respondent), a licensed physician in Nevada, assisted by his attorney, Lyn E. Beggs, Esq., of the Law Offices of Lyn E. Beggs, PLLC hereby enter into this Settlement Agreement (Agreement) based on the following:

A. BACKGROUND

- 1. Respondent is a medical doctor currently licensed in active status by the Board pursuant to Chapter 630 of the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Chapter 630 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) (collectively, the Medical Practice Act) to practice medicine in Nevada. His license was originally issued on January 7, 1984 (License No. 4951).
- 2. On April 21, 2023, in Case No. 23-7127-1, the IC filed a formal Complaint (Complaint) charging Respondent with violating the Medical Practice Act. Specifically, the Complaint alleges two (2) violations of NRS 630.301(4), Malpractice (Counts I and IV); three (3) violations of NRS 630.3062(1)(a), Failure to Maintain Proper Medical Records (Counts II, III, and V); and one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(1)(c), Unlawful Prescribing of Controlled Substance or

¹ All agreements and admissions made by Respondent are solely for final disposition of this matter and any subsequent related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, Respondent's agreements and admissions are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal government regulatory agency proceeding, state or federal civil or criminal proceeding, any state or federal court proceeding, or any credentialing or privileges matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dangerous Drug (Count VI). By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

- Respondent was properly served with a copy of this Complaint, has reviewed and 3. understands this Complaint, and has had the opportunity to consult with competent counsel concerning the nature and significance of this Complaint.
- Respondent is hereby advised of his rights regarding this administrative matter, and 4. of his opportunity to defend against the allegations in the Complaint. Specifically, Respondent has certain rights in this administrative matter as set out by the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the Nevada Open Meeting Law (OML), which is contained in NRS Chapter 241, and the Nevada Administrative Procedure Act (APA), which is contained in NRS Chapter 233B and 622A. These rights include the right to a formal hearing on the allegations in the Complaint, the right to representation by counsel, at his own expense, in the preparation and presentation of his defense, the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses and evidence against him, the right to written findings of fact, conclusions of law and order reflecting the final decision of the Board, and the right to judicial review of the Board's order, if the decision is adverse to him.
- Respondent understands that, under the Board's charge to protect the public by 5. regulating the practice of medicine, the Board may take disciplinary action against Respondent's license, including license probation, license suspension, license revocation and imposition of administrative fines, as well as any other reasonable requirement or limitation, if the Board concludes that Respondent violated one or more provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
- Respondent understands and agrees that this Agreement, by and between 6. Respondent and the IC, is not with the Board, and that the IC will present this Agreement to the Board for consideration in open session at a duly noticed and scheduled meeting. Respondent understands that the IC shall advocate for the Board's approval of this Agreement, but that the Board has the right to decide in its own discretion whether or not to approve this Agreement. Respondent further understands and agrees that if the Board approves this Agreement, then the terms and conditions enumerated below shall be binding and enforceable upon him and the Board.

B. TERMS & CONDITIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, in order to resolve the matters addressed herein, i.e., the matters with regard to the Complaint, Respondent and the IC hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. Respondent is, and at all times relevant to the Complaint has been, a physician licensed to practice medicine in Nevada subject to the jurisdiction of the Board as set forth in the Medical Practice Act.
- Respondent acknowledges he is represented by counsel and wishes to resolve the matters addressed herein with said counsel. Respondent agrees that if representation by counsel in this matter materially changes prior to entering into this Agreement and for the duration of this Agreement, that counsel for the IC will be timely notified of the material change. Respondent agrees that he knowingly, willingly and intelligently enters into this Agreement after deciding to have a full consultation with and upon the advice of legal counsel.
- 3. Waiver of Rights. In connection with this Agreement, and the associated terms and conditions, Respondent knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights in connection with this administrative matter. Respondent hereby knowingly, willingly and intelligently waives all rights arising under the United States Constitution, the Nevada Constitution, the Medical Practice Act, the OML, the APA, and any other legal rights that may be available to him or that may apply to him in connection with the administrative proceedings resulting from the Complaint filed in this matter, including defense of the Complaint, adjudication of the allegations set forth in the Complaint, and imposition of any disciplinary actions or sanctions ordered by the Board. Respondent agrees to settle and resolve the allegations of the Complaint as set out by this Agreement, without a hearing or any further proceedings and without the right to judicial review.
- 4. <u>Acknowledgement of Reasonable Basis to Proceed</u>. As of the time of entering into this Settlement Agreement, the allegations of the Complaint remain unproven. Respondent acknowledges that the IC believes it has a reasonable basis to allege that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. The IC acknowledges

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent is not admitting that the IC's claims/counts as alleged in the Complaint have merit and Respondent is agreeing to resolve this matter to avoid the costs of hearing and potential subsequent litigation. Respondent asserts if this matter were to proceed to hearing, he has evidence, witnesses, expert witness(es) and defenses to the counts/claims alleged in the Complaint, but for the purposes of resolving the matter and for no other purpose, Respondent waives the presentation of evidence, witnesses, expert witnesses, and defenses in order to effectuate this Agreement.

- Consent to Entry of Order. In order to resolve this Complaint pending against 5. Respondent, Respondent hereby agrees that the Board may issue an order finding that Respondent engaged in conduct that is grounds for discipline pursuant to the Medical Practice Act. Accordingly, the following terms and conditions are hereby agreed upon:
- Respondent admits to Counts II, III and V, three (3) violations of NRS 630.3062(1)(a), Failure to Maintain Proper Medical Records; and Count VI, one (1) violation of NRS 630.306(1)(c), Unlawful Prescribing of Controlled Substance or Dangerous Drug.
- Respondent shall pay a fine of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) within b. sixty (60) days of the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement.
- Respondent will pay the costs and expenses incurred in the investigation and prosecution of the above-referenced matter, in the amount of nine thousand sixty-one dollars and seventy-three cents (\$9,061.73), within 60 days of the Board's acceptance, adoption and approval of this Agreement.
- The Respondent shall perform twenty-two (22) hours of Continued Medical d. Education (CME) related to best practices in prescribing, in addition to the required CMEs for licensure. These CME hours must be completed within one hundred eighty (180) days.
- This Agreement shall be reported to the appropriate entities and parties as e. required by law, including, but not limited to, the National Practitioner Data Bank.
 - Respondent shall receive a Public Letter of Reprimand. f.
 - The remaining counts of the Complaint, and any other claims arising from g.

the Board's corresponding investigative case file(s), shall be dismissed with prejudice.

6. Release from Liability. In execution of this Agreement, Respondent understands and agrees that the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents are immune from civil liability for any decision or action taken in good faith in response to information acquired by the Board. NRS 630.364(2)(a). Respondent agrees to release the State of Nevada, the Board, and each of its members, staff, counsel, investigators, experts, peer reviewers, committees, panels, hearing officers, consultants and agents from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims and demands whatsoever, known and unknown, in law or equity, that Respondent ever had, now has, may have or claim to have, against any or all of the persons, government agencies or entities named in this paragraph arising out of, or by reason of, this investigation, this Agreement or the administration of the case referenced herein.

7. Procedure for Adoption of Agreement. The IC and counsel for the IC shall recommend approval and adoption of the terms and conditions of this Agreement by the Board in resolution of this Complaint. In the course of seeking Board acceptance, approval and adoption of this Agreement, counsel for the IC may communicate directly with the Board staff and the adjudicating members of the Board.

Respondent acknowledges that such contacts and communications may be made or conducted ex-parté, without notice or opportunity to be heard on his part until the public Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed, and that such contacts and communications may include, but may not be limited to, matters concerning this Agreement, the Complaint and any and all information of every nature whatsoever related to this matter. The IC and its counsel agree that Respondent and/or Counsel for the Respondent may appear at the Board meeting where this Agreement is discussed and, if requested, respond to any questions that may be addressed to the IC or the IC's counsel.

8. Effect of Acceptance of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board accepts, approves and adopts this Agreement, the Board shall issue a final order, making this Agreement

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 89521 (775) 688-2559 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

an order of the Board, and, pending full compliance with the terms herein, the case shall be closed and all remaining claims arising out of the Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice.

- 9. Effect of Rejection of Agreement by Board. In the event the Board does not accept, approve and adopt this Agreement, this Agreement shall be null, void and of no force and effect except as to the following agreement regarding adjudications: (1) Respondent agrees that, notwithstanding rejection of this Agreement by the Board, nothing contained in this Agreement and nothing that occurs pursuant to efforts of the IC to seek the Board's acceptance of this Agreement shall disqualify any member of the adjudicating panel of the Board from considering this Complaint and from participating in disciplinary proceedings against Respondent, including adjudication of this case; and (2) Respondent further agrees that he shall not seek to disqualify any such member absent evidence of bad faith.
- 10. Binding Effect. If approved by the Board, Respondent understands that this Agreement is a binding and enforceable contract upon Respondent and the Board.
- 11. Forum Selection Clause. The parties agree that in the event either party is required to seek enforcement of this Agreement in district court, the party's consent to such jurisdiction and agree that exclusive jurisdiction shall be in the Second Judicial District Court, State of Nevada, Washoe County.
- 12. Attorneys' Fees and Costs. The parties agree that in the event an action is commenced in district court to enforce any provision of this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 13. Failure to Comply with Terms. Should Respondent fail to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement once the Agreement has been accepted, approved and adopted by the Board, the IC shall be authorized to immediately suspend Respondent's license to practice medicine in Nevada pending an Order to Show Cause Hearing, which will be duly noticed. Failure to comply with the terms of this Agreement, including failure to pay any fines, costs, expenses or fees owed to the Board, is a failure to comply with an order of the Board, which may result in additional disciplinary action being taken against Respondent. NRS 630.3065(2)(a). Further, Respondent's failure to remit payment to the Board for monies agreed to be paid as a

	1	condition of this Agreement may subject Respond	lent to civil collection efforts.
	2		H all
	3	DATED this 20 May of Reference, 2023.	DATED this 5 day of October 2023.
	4	INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE	LAW OFFICES OF LYN E. BEGGS, PLLC
	5	NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS	
	6		1 A -12
	7	Ву:	By Stynd Desc
	8	DONALD K. WHITE Senior Deputy General Counsel	LYN E. BEGGS, ESC. 316 California Ave., #863
	9	9600 Gateway Drive	Reno, NV 89509
	10	Reno, NV 89521 Tel: (775) 688-2559	Tel: (775) 432-1918 Email: lyn@lbeggslaw.com
	- 1	Email: dwhite@medboard.nv.gov	Attorney for Respondent
	11	Attorney for the Investigative Committee	
	12		
7229	13	DATED this 5 day of 6010 Ber 2023.	
Kcc7-989 (c//	14	I madelle	•
Š	15	By:	(D.
	16	Nevada License No. 4951	,
	17	Respondent	
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24	',	•
	25		
	26		
	27		

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, Nevada 895521 (775) 688-2559

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, the foregoing Settlement Agreement (Case No. 23-7127-1) was approved and accepted by the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners on the 1st day of December, 2023.

DATED this 1st day of December, 2023.

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

NICK M. SPIRTOS, M.D., F.A.C.O.G

Board President

BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * *

In the Matter of Charges and Complaint

Against:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FRANK ANTHONY SHALLENBERGER, M.D.,

Respondent.

Case No. 23-7127-1

NEVADA STATE BOARD OF AL EXAMINERS

COMPLAINT

The Investigative Committee¹ (IC) of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners (Board), by and through Donald K. White, Senior Deputy General Counsel and attorney for the IC, having a reasonable basis to believe that Frank Anthony Shallenberger, M.D. (Respondent) violated the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 630 and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 630 (collectively, the Medical Practice Act), hereby issues its Complaint, stating the IC's charges and allegations as follows:

Respondent was at all times relative to this Complaint a medical doctor holding an 1. active license to practice medicine in the State of Nevada (License No. 4951). Respondent was originally licensed by the Board on January 7, 1984.

PATIENT A

Patient A² was a sixty-nine (69) year-old female of which Respondent had a close 2. personal relationship at the time of the events at issue. Her name is not disclosed in this Complaint to protect her identity, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation contemporaneously served on Respondent with a copy of this Complaint.

///

¹ The Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners, at the time this formal Complaint was authorized for filing, was composed of Board members Rachakonda D. Prabhu, M.D., Ms. Sandy Peltyn and Victor M. Muro, M.D.

² Patient A-C's true identity is not disclosed herein to protect their privacy, but is disclosed in the Patient Designation served upon Respondent along with a copy of this Complaint.

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On September 19, 2007 Patient A was seen by the Respondent for hormone 3. replacement therapy. The treatment plan prepared by Respondent without clinical reasoning, baseline testing nor diagnosis, was for testosterone micronized powder (TMP) which is a schedule III controlled substance.
- Patient A was seen again by Respondent on March 19, 2008, and he continued the 4. same hormone therapy by prescribing TMP on this date, again without clinical reasoning, nor a formal diagnosis.
- 5. December 15, 2010 diagnostic testing was performed on Patient A and her salivary hormone results showed Testosterone above the normal range.
- Respondent submitted prescriptions for TMP from April 2, 2009 through June 19, 6. 2017 for Patient A, over five thousand seven hundred (5,700) days worth of TMP with twenty-two (22) prescriptions.

COUNT I

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 7. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating 8. disciplinary action against a licensee.
- 9. NAC 630,040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- As demonstrated by, but not limited to, the above-outlined facts, Respondent failed 10. to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to Patient A. Specifically, he did not order a baseline laboratory test prior to initation of prescriptions for TMP. Documentation does not indicate that Respondent discussed signs and symptoms that Patient A had been experiencing that would prompt the treatment with a testosterone replacement. Respondent wrote for five thousand seven hundred (5,700) days of worth of testosterone from 2009 through 2017 for Patient A without a proper

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

diagnosis of a condition or ailment for which TMP would be an appropriate medication therapy. Additionally, Respondent only checked Patient A's testosterone levels twice during the the eight (8) year period, the last time being in 2010, when it was four (4) times higher than the upper limit.

By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 11. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT II

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Proper Medical Records

- 12. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate 13. and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- Respondent failed to maintain accurate and complete medical records relating to 14. the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient A, by failing to correctly document his actions when he prescribed a schedule IV controlled substance to Patient A.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 15. provided in NRS 630.352.

PATIENT B

- Patient B² was a twenty-seven (27) year old female of which Respondent had a 16. close personal relationship at the time of the events at issue.
- On December 6, 2016, Respondent prescribed zolpidem tartrate, a schedule IV 17. However, there are no medical records to substantiate an actual controlled substance. physician-patient relationship existed nor did Respondent provide any clinical reasoning for his prescription of the schedule IV controlled substance. Specifically, there was no record of this patient having been seen at Respondent's clinic even though a prescription sleep aid was provided to Patient B and signed by Respondent.

111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT III

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Proper Medical Records

- 18. All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- Respondent failed to maintain timely, accurate, and complete medical records 20. relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient B, by failing to correctly document his actions when he treated Patient B for insomnia without evaluating her for insomnia.
- 21. By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as provided in NRS 630.352.

PATIENT C

- Patient C² was forty-two (42) year old female at the times of the events at issue. 22.
- On November 7, 2016, Patient C was seen by Respondent for concerns about 23. symptoms that started at the time of her hysterectomy that included being weak, tired, headaches, fatigue, and brain fog. Respondent prescribed Patient C TMP, a schedule III controlled substance.
- On December 21, 2016, Respondent once again evaluated Patient C for follow-up 24. after starting the hormone cream. She indicated she was feeling better and had no side effects, so Respondent increased her TMP dose and arranged for a follow-up visit in three (3) months.
- Respondent did not obtain laboratory results to measure the patient's current 25. hormone levels providing a baseline assessment, nor did he provide clinical reasoning for prescribing testosterone.

COUNT IV

NRS 630.301(4) - Malpractice

All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 26. reference as though fully set forth herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- NRS 630.301(4) provides that malpractice of a physician is grounds for initiating 27. disciplinary action against a licensee.
- NAC 630,040 defines malpractice as "the failure of a physician, in treating a 28. patient, to use the reasonable care, skill, or knowledge ordinarily used under similar circumstances."
- Respondent failed to use the reasonable care, skill or knowledge ordinarily used 29. under similar circumstances when rendering medical services to Patient C. He did not order baseline laboratory tests prior to initation of prescriptions for TMP. Respondent wrote prescriptions for testosterone for Patient C without a diagnosis of a condition or ailment for which TMP would be an appropriate medication therapy.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 30. provided in NRS 630.352.

PATIENT D

- Patient D is Respondent himself. 31.
- Respondent prescribed himself an eighty-one (81) day supply of testosterone 32. cyionate, a schedule III controlled substance. No accompanying medical records indicating a clinical evaluation was performed nor was a diagnosis identified for this medication. Additionally, there is no baseline diagnostic report provided in the medical records file which is necessary before providing supplemental hormones such as testosterone.
- On March 15, 2016, Respondent prescribed himself zolpidem tartrate, a schedule 33. IV medication. Again, there are no medical records indicating clinical evaluation and justification for writing this prescription.

COUNT V

NRS 630.3062(1)(a) - Failure to Maintain Complete Medical Records

All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 34. reference as though fully set forth herein.

111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 35. NRS 630.3062(1)(a) provides that the "failure to maintain timely, legible, accurate and complete medical records relating to the diagnosis, treatment and care of a patient" constitute grounds for initiating discipline against a licensee.
- Respondent failed to maintain accurate and complete medical records relating to 36. the diagnosis, treatment and care of Patient D, by failing to correctly document his actions when he treated Patient D.
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 37. provided in NRS 630.352.

COUNT VI

NRS 630.306(1)(c) - Unlawful Prescribing of Controlled Substance or Dangerouse Drug

- All of the allegations contained in the above paragraphs are hereby incorporated by 38. reference as though fully set forth herein.
- NRS 630.306(1)(c) provides that administering, dispensing or prescribing any 39. controlled substance, or any dangerous drug as defined in chapter 454 of NRS, to or for himself or herself or to others except as authorized by law, constitute grounds for initiating disciplinary action.
- NRS 453,256(5) provides that an individual practitioner may not dispense a 40. substance included in schedule II, III or IV for the practitioner's own personal use except in a medical emergency.
- Respondent did not prescribe testosterone cyionate or zolpidem tartrate to himself 41. for emergency purposes as required by NRS 453.256(5) making these prescriptions unlawful pursuant to NRS 630.306(1)(c).
- By reason of the foregoing, Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board as 42. provided in NRS 630.352.

WHEREFORE, the Investigative Committee prays:

That the Board give Respondent notice of the charges herein against him and give 1. him notice that he may file an answer to the Complaint herein as set forth in NRS 630.339(2) within twenty (20) days of service of the Complaint;

5	
6	ŀ
7	
8	
9	
10	-
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2

3

- 2. That the Board set a time and place for a formal hearing after holding an Early Case Conference pursuant to NRS 630.339(3);
- 3. That the Board determine what sanctions to impose if it determines there has been a violation or violations of the Medical Practice Act committed by Respondent;
- 4. That the Board award fees and costs for the investigation and prosecution of this case as outlined in NRS 622.400;
- 5. That the Board make, issue and serve on Respondent its findings of fact, conclusions of law and order, in writing, that includes the sanctions imposed; and
- 6. That the Board take such other and further action as may be just and proper in these premises.

DATED this Z/S day of April, 2023.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

DONALD K. WHITE

Senior Deputy General Counsel

9600 Gateway Drive Reno, NV 89521

Tel: (775) 688-2559

Email: dwhite@medboard.nv.gov

Attorney for the Investigative Committee

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners

Reno, Nevada 89521

(775) 688-2559

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NEVADA)
	: SS.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Victor M. Muro, M.D., having been duly sworn, hereby deposes and states under penalty of perjury that he is the Chairman of the Investigative Committee of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners that authorized the Complaint against the Respondent herein; that he has read the foregoing Complaint; and that based upon information discovered in the course of the investigation into a complaint against Respondent, he believes that the allegations and charges in the foregoing Complaint against Respondent are true, accurate and correct.

DATED this Alstan of April, 2023.

INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE NEVADA STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: UM MUND MED

VICTOR M. MURO, M.D. Chairman of the Investigative Committee