BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation) Against:)) Deborah Metzger, M.D.) Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. C50171) Respondent)

Case No. 19-2010-204971

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 25, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED: August 26, 2013.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA .

frencher MD

Dev Gnanadev, M.D., Vice Chair Panel B

| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JOSE R. GUERRERO | |
|----|--|---|
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAWRENCE MERCER | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 111898 | |
| 5 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (415) 703-5539 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE MEDICAL BOARD | OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA | |
| 10 | | Case No. 19-2010-204971 |
| 11 | | OAH No. 2012100409 |
| 12 | 11 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER |
| 13 | Los Altos, CA 94024 | |
| 14 | Physician's and Surgeon's License No. C50171 | |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | : |
| 17 | | EED by and between the parties to the above- |
| 18 | entitled proceedings that the following matters are | |
| 19 | | n Executive Director of the Medical Board of |
| 20 | California. She brought this disciplinary action so | |
| 21 | by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the Stat | e of California, by Lawrence Mercer, Deputy |
| 22 | Attorney General. | |
| 23 | | nted in this matter by her attorney Leigh E. |
| 24 | Johnson, 3150 Hilltop Mall Road, Ste. 62, Richmo | |
| 25 | | ne Medical Board of California issued |
| 26 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C50 | |
| 27 | At all relevant times, said certificate has been curre | |
| 28 | Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificat | te was revoked, and the revocation was stayed, |
| | 1 | |
| | STIPULATED SETTI | LEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (2012100409) |

provided that Respondent successfully complete the terms and conditions of a five year probation.
 Respondent completed that probation on February 25, 2010. Unless renewed, the certificate will
 expire on March 31, 2014.
 <u>JURISDICTION</u>
 Accusation No. 19-2010-204971 (Accusation) was duly filed and served on

Respondent on January 27, 2012. Respondent timely filed a Notice of Defense and requested a hearing on the charges against her. A copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with her counsel and understands
 the charges and allegations in the Accusation. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed
 with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
 hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at
 her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to

16 present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to

17 \parallel compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration

18 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California

19 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

6

7

8

9

22

20 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and
21 every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits that she failed to keep adequate and accurate medical records,
 as more fully set forth in the Accusation, a violation of Business and Professions Code section
 2266, and that she has thereby subjected her license to disciplinary action.

9. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to
discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the
Disciplinary Order below.

| 1 | RESERVATION |
|----|---|
| 2 | 10. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this |
| 3 | proceeding or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other |
| 4 | professional licensing agency in any state is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other |
| 5 | criminal or civil proceedings. |
| 6 | <u>CONTINGENCY</u> |
| 7 | 11. This Stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Board. Respondent |
| 8 | understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly |
| 9 | with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her |
| 10 | counsel. If the Board fails to adopt this Stipulation as its Order in this matter, the Stipulation |
| 11 | shall be of no force or effect; it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties; and |
| 12 | the Board shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration |
| 13 | of this Stipulation. Respondent also understands and agrees that she will not be able to withdraw |
| 14 | or modify this Stipulation while it is before the Board for consideration. |
| 15 | 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement |
| 16 | and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and |
| 17 | effect as the originals. |
| 18 | 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that |
| 19 | the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following |
| 20 | Disciplinary Order: |
| 21 | DISCIPLINARY ORDER |
| 22 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C50171 issued |
| 23 | to Respondent Deborah Metzger, M.D., is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and |
| 24 | Respondent's certificate is placed on three (3) years probation, on the following terms and |
| 25 | conditions: |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | // |
| | 3 |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (2012100409) |

MONITORING -- PRACTICE: Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of 1. 1 this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for prior approval as a 2 practice monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons 3 whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of 4 Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or 5 personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to 6 compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including, 7 but not limited to, any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must 8 agree to serve as respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs. 9

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a revised monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent's practice shall
be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for
immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business
hours, and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 days of the effective date of 21 this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the 22 practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall 23 cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility. 24 The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes 25 an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within 26 the standards of practice of medicine and whether respondent is practicing medicine safely and 27 billing. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the 28

1 quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the 2 preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days 3 of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the 4 name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 5 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days 6 of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from 7 the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days and, 8 after being so notified, Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement 9 monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. 10

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at respondent's expense during the term of probation.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined above is a violation of probation.

PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM: Within 60 calendar days of the effective date 2. 20 of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program that meets the 21 requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358. Respondent shall 22 participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information 23 and documents deemed pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the program within six 24 (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program 25 not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the 26 classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at respondent's expense and shall 27 be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. 28

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the
 Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board
 or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have
 been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of
 the Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its
designee not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the effective date of the Decision,
whichever is later.

3. NOTIFICATION: Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this decision, the 9 Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the 10 Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to 11 Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, 12 including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief 13 Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to 14 Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 15 calendar days. 16

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance
carrier.

19
 4. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS: During probation, Respondent
 20
 is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

5. OBEY ALL LAWS: Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all
rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any
court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS: Respondent shall submit quarterly
 declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has
 been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

27 Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end
28 of the preceding quarter.

17.COMPLIANCE WITH PROBATION UNIT: Respondent shall comply with the2Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this decision.

8. CHANGE OF ADDRESS: Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

9. PLACE OF PRACTICE: Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine
in Respondent's or her patient(s)' place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing
facility or other similar licensed facility.

11 10. LICENSE RENEWAL: Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed
 12 California physician's and surgeon's license.

13 11. TRAVEL OUTSIDE STATE: Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or
14 its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or
15 is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice
 Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of
 departure and return.

19 12. INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE: Respondent shall be
20 available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the
21 probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

13. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION: Respondent shall notify the Board
or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than
30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is
defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in
Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month
in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All
time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee

shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or
 Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or
 jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall
 not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar
months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria
of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and
Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.
Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.
Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the
probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms
and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and general probation requirements (¶¶ 6-11).

14 14. COMPLETION OF PROBATION: Respondent shall comply with all financial
obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the
completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall
be fully restored.

18 15. VIOLATION OF PROBATION: Failure to fully comply with any term or
19 condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any
20 respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke
21 probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to
22 Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation,
23 the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation
24 shall be extended until the matter is final.

17. LICENSE SURRENDER: Following the effective date of this decision, if
Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.
The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in

determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

7 18. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS: Respondent shall pay the costs associated
8 with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which
9 may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of
10 California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar
11 year.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
discussed it with my attorney. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order
of the Medical Board of California.

<u>mD.</u> DEBORAH ME

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Deborah Metzger, M.D. the terms and
 conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
 I approve its form and content.

25 || DATED: 7/24/13

DATED:

12

18

19

20

21

26

27

LEIGH E. JOHNSON, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

| 1 | ENDORSEMENT |
|-----|---|
| 2 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully |
| 3 | submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California. |
| 4 | Dated: July 25, 2013 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California |
| 5 | JOSE R. GUERRERO |
| 6 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General |
| 7 | |
| 8 | LAWRENCE MERCER Deputy Attorney General |
| 9 | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | SF2011400405 |
| 13 | 40737249.doc |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | · · · |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| .26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 10 |
| | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (201210040 |

EXHIBIT A

•

| 1 | Kamala D. Harris | FILED |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Attorney General of California Jose R. GUERRERO | STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAWRENCE MERCER | SACRAMENTO LAQUARY 272012 BY:2 (1) Cal ANALYST |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 111898 | |
| 5 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (415) 703-5539 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE MEDICAL BOARD (DED A DEMENT OF CO | DF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 19-2010-204971 |
| 12 | DEBORAH METZGER, M.D. | ACCUSATION |
| 13 | 851 Fremont Avenue, Ste. 104FLos Altos, CA 94024F | ICCUSATION . |
| 14 | Physician's and Surgeon's License | |
| 15 | No. C50171 | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 19 | PARTI | ES |
| 20 | 1. Linda K. Whitney (Complainant) br | ings this Accusation solely in her official |
| 21 | capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical E | Board of California, Department of Consumer |
| 22 | Affairs. | |
| 23 | 2. On or about November 20, 1998, the | e Medical Board of California issued |
| 24 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number C50 | 71 to Deborah Metzger, M.D. (Respondent). |
| 25 | At all relevant times, said certificate has been curre | nt and valid. Effective February 25, 2005, |
| 26 | Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificat | e was revoked, and the revocation was stayed, |
| 27 | provided that Respondent successfully complete th | e terms and conditions of a five year probation. |
| 28 | | |
| | 1 | |

| 1 | Respondent completed that probation on February 25, 2010. Unless renewed, the certificate will |
|----------|---|
| 2 | expire on March 31, 2012. |
| 3 | JURISDICTION |
| 4 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board ^{1}) under |
| 5 | the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions |
| 6 | Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 7 | 4. Section 2004 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Medical Board shall |
| 8 | have responsibility for: |
| .9 | "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical |
| 10 | Practice Act. |
| 11 | (b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions. |
| 12 | (c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an |
| 13 | administrative law judge. |
| 14 | (d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of |
| 15 | disciplinary actions. |
| 16 | (e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and |
| 17 | surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board" |
| 18 | 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the |
| 19 | Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed |
| 20 | one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other |
| 21 | action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper. |
| 22 | 6. Section 2228 of the Code provides that a probation imposed by the Board may |
| 23 | include, but is not limited to the following: |
| 24 | // |
| 25 | // |
| 26 | |
| 27 28 | As used herein, the term "board" means the Medical Board of California. As used herein, "Division of Medical Quality" shall also be deemed to refer to the board. |
| | 2 |
| | ACCUSATION (Case No. 19-2010-204971) |

| 1 | "(a) Requiring the licensee to obtain additional professional training and to pass |
|----|---|
| 2 | an examination upon the completion of training. The examination may be written or oral, or both, |
| 3 | and may be a practical or clinical examination, or both, at the option of the board or the |
| 4 | administrative law judge." |
| 5 | "(b) Requiring the licensee to submit to a complete diagnostic examination by one |
| 6 | or more physicians and surgeons appointed by the board. If an examination is ordered, the board |
| 7 | shall receive and consider any other report of a complete diagnostic examination given by one or |
| 8 | more physicians and surgeons of the licensee's choice." |
| 9 | "(c) Restricting or limiting the extend, scope, or type of practice of the licensee, |
| 10 | including requiring notice to applicable patients that the licensee is unable to perform the |
| 11 | indicated treatment, where appropriate." |
| 12 | 7. Section 2234 of the Code provides: |
| 13 | "The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is |
| 14 | charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, |
| 15 | unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following: |
| 16 | " (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more |
| 17 | negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and |
| 18 | distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts. |
| 19 | "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically |
| 20 | appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act. |
| 21 | "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission |
| 22 | that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a |
| 23 | reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the |
| 24 | applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the |
| 25 | standard of care. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | // |
| 28 | // |
| | 3 |

| 1 | 8. Section 2234.1 provides, in pertinent part: |
|----|--|
| 2 | "(a) A physician and surgeon shall not be subject to discipline pursuant to |
| 3 | subdivision (b), (c), or (d) of Section 2234 solely on the basis that the treatment or advice he or |
| 4 | she rendered to a patient is alternative or complementary medicine, including the treatment of |
| 5 | persistent Lyme disease, if the treatment or advice meets all of the following requirements: |
| 6 | "(1) It is provided after informed consent and a good-faith prior examination of |
| 7 | the patient and medical indication exists for the treatment or advice, or it is provided for health or |
| 8 | well-being. |
| 9 | "(2) It is provided after the physician and surgeon has given the patient |
| 10 | information concerning conventional treatment and describing the education, experience, and |
| 11 | credentials of the physician and surgeon related to the alternative or complementary medicine that |
| 12 | he or she practices. |
| 13 | "(3) In the case of alternative or complementary medicine, it does not cause a |
| 14 | delay in, or discourage traditional diagnosis of, a condition of the patient. |
| 15 | "(4) It does not cause death or serious bodily injury to the patient" |
| 16 | 9. Section 2266 of the Code provides that it is unprofessional conduct for a physician |
| 17 | to fail to maintain adequate and accurate medical records. |
| 18 | FACTUAL BACKGROUND |
| 19 | 10. As set forth above, Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed |
| 20 | on probation, effective February 25, 2005. Included in the terms and conditions of Respondent's |
| 21 | probation was the requirement that her medical practice be monitored by a physician and surgeon |
| 22 | in her specialty. Respondent proposed, and the Board approved, a physician with training and |
| 23 | experience in OB/GYN and Integrative Medicine. Over the course of nearly five years, |
| 24 | Respondent in concert with that monitor developed and implemented certain protocols and |
| 25 | procedures for her medical practice and record keeping. The agreed protocols and procedures |
| 26 | included asking all new patients about their desire for future fertility, asking all patients about |
| 27 | their method of contraception and last menstrual period at every visit, and counseling patients |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |

| 1 | regarding preconception preparation and potentially toxic or teratogenic effects of their |
|----|--|
| 2 | medications and supplements. |
| 3 | 11. For several years, Respondent appeared to have brought her practice into |
| 4 | conformity with the agreed protocols and procedures; however, in or about late 2009, the practice |
| 5 | monitor learned that the charts she had reviewed had been reviewed by Respondent prior to the |
| 6 | monitor's review. The monitor was concerned that Respondent might be concealing deficiencies |
| 7 | in her practice and record keeping, so she then selected patient charts for review without advance |
| 8 | notice to Respondent. In the selected cases, the monitor discovered that Respondent was not in |
| 9 | compliance with the agreed protocols and procedures. The Board was notified and an |
| 10 | investigation ensued, resulting in the charges set forth in this Accusation. |
| 11 | FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 12 | (Patient M.H. ²) |
| 13 | (Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records) |
| 14 | 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(c) and 2266, in |
| 15 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient M.H., and failed to |
| 16 | keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 17 | A. According to Respondent's records Patient M.H., who was then aged 42, |
| 18 | first consulted with Respondent on March 23, 2006. At that time, M.H. reported multiple medical |
| 19 | issues, including chronic fatigue, infertility, chronic pelvic pain, fatigue, and Irritable Bowel |
| 20 | Syndrome and migraine headaches. At the initial visit Respondent obtained a history and |
| 21 | performed a comprehensive, albeit not exhaustive, physical examination. Vital signs were |
| 22 | recorded and abnormal findings on the examination were noted in the records. |
| 23 | B. Patient M.H. continued under Respondent's care and treatment through |
| 24 | June 2010. Respondent rarely recorded the patient's vital signs at each visit and, after 2008, |
| 25 | discontinued charting them at all. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | ² Patient names are abbreviated to protect privacy. |
| | 5 |
| | ACCUSATION (Case No. 19-2010-204971) |

| 1 | C. After the initial evaluation in March 2006, and continuing through 2010, |
|--|---|
| 2 | and despite the patient's multiple continuing medical problems, Respondent routinely did not |
| 3 | perform and/or document a physical examination on each visit. Respondent's records are notable |
| 4 | for containing only one complete physical examination in four years, with only one or two |
| 5 | intervening, focused examinations of the patient's abdomen. |
| 6 | 13. Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to disciplinary action |
| 7 | pursuant to sections 2234(c) and 2266, in that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care |
| 8 | and treatment of M.H., including but not limited to the following: |
| 9 | A. Respondent routinely failed to assess and/or to record the patient's vital |
| 10 | signs; |
| 11 | B. Respondent routinely failed to perform and/or failed to chart a physical |
| 12 | examination of the patient. |
| 13 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 14 | (Patient K.K.) |
| 15 | (Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records) |
| 16 | 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(c) and 2266, in |
| | |
| 17 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to |
| 17 18 | |
| | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to |
| 18 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 18 19 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under |
| 18 19 20 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone |
| 18 19 20 21 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, were in-person medical visits. |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, were in-person medical visits. B. On January 14, 2008, Patient K.K. contacted Respondent for initial |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, were in-person medical visits. B. On January 14, 2008, Patient K.K. contacted Respondent for initial evaluation with chief complaints that included endometriosis, infertility, chronic pelvic pain, |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, were in-person medical visits. B. On January 14, 2008, Patient K.K. contacted Respondent for initial evaluation with chief complaints that included endometriosis, infertility, chronic pelvic pain, dysmennorhea, depression and weight issues. Patient K.K. indicated that she was interested in |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient K.K., and failed to keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: A. Patient K.K., a 37 year old woman living in North Carolina, came under Respondent's care and treatment in January 2008 and continued to consult with her, by telephone and in person, through February 2010. Respondent's records fail to specify which medical consultations were by telephone and which were office visits, but at least two, and likely three, were in-person medical visits. B. On January 14, 2008, Patient K.K. contacted Respondent for initial evaluation with chief complaints that included endometriosis, infertility, chronic pelvic pain, dysmennorhea, depression and weight issues. Patient K.K. indicated that she was interested in fertility enhancement and she provided several completed questionnaires, including such health |

•

no record of a physical examination on this occasion (which may have been a telephone
 consultation), nor are any vital signs recorded. Respondent developed a problem list that
 included 16 medical concerns, including (and listed as problems 1-4): Endometriosis, chronic
 pelvic pain, fertility and abnormal vaginal bleeding.

C. On March 31, 2008, Patient K.K. had her second encounter with 5 Respondent. Albeit correspondence from the patient indicates that this was an office visit, a 6 physical examination was not performed and/or was not documented in Respondent's chart. 7 Albeit the patient stated that she wanted to become pregnant, the date of the patient's last 8 9 menstrual period is also not noted. Respondent performed a glucose challenge test and based on two elevated insulin levels, made a diagnosis of insulin resistance; despite the fact that the 10 diagnosed insulin resistance increased the patient's risk of high blood pressure, no blood pressure 11 reading or other vital signs are recorded. At that time, based upon positive Western blot test 12 results, Respondent diagnosed Lyme disease and wrote that Lyme disease was possibly the main 13 culprit in K.K.'s fertility and allergy issues. Respondent prescribed multiple, potentially 14 teratogenic medications and supplements to treat the disorder, including Artemisin, Biaxin, 15 Diflucan, Doxycycline, Hydroxychloroquine and Samento. Respondent explained some, but not 16 all, of the potential teratogenic effects of the drugs and supplements prescribed by her. 17

D. Following the March office visit, Patient K.K. continued treatment under 18 Respondent's direction for more than 18 months without a documented face-to-face evaluation 19 and examination. In the course of the approximately 12 interim encounters with the patient 20 during this period, Respondent consistently failed to document the patient's last menstrual period. 21 E. Respondent tested and treated K.K. for serotonin and progesterone 22 23 allergies. In 2008-2009, Respondent prescribed sublingual hormone drops. Although Respondent obtained K.K.'s informed consent to allergy testing, she failed to obtain and/or failed 24 to document the patient's informed consent to treatment with experimental therapies for these 25 diagnoses. 2.6

F. On October 12, 2009, the patient returned to Respondent's office. At that time she reported feeling much improved and that she was on a five week pulsing course of

ACCUSATION (Case No. 19-2010-204971)

Samento for Lyme disease. Respondent either did not perform or did not document a physical 1 examination, nor did she record the patient's vital signs. The date of her last menstrual period 2 was also not recorded. 3 G. On February 10, 2010, Patient K.K. again consulted with Respondent, 4 apparently by telephone. She reported that she continued to suffer headaches and Pre-Menstrual 5 Syndrome symptoms before her period; however, Respondent did not note when her last period 6 occurred. 7 15. Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to disciplinary action 8 pursuant to sections 2234(c) and 2266, in that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care 9 and treatment of K.K., including but not limited to the following: 10 Α. Respondent failed to perform and/or failed to document a physical 11 examination of the patient on the occasions when the patient presented for an office visit; 12 Β. Respondent failed to document vital signs, including but not limited to 13 blood pressure, on those occasions when K.K. presented to her office -- despite the fact that the 14 patient's history and condition placed her at increased risk for elevated blood pressure; 15 C. Respondent did not document the date of the last menstrual period for 16 Patient K.K. after the initial encounter; 17 D. Respondent failed to counsel Patient K.K. regarding all potential 18 teratogenic effects of the medications and supplements prescribed by Respondent or their 19 compatibility with the patient's desire to become pregnant; 20 E. 21 Respondent failed to advise Patient K.K. regarding the experimental status of hormone and neurotransmitter allergies and, for that reason, did not obtain the patient's 22 informed consent. 23 11 24 11 25 // 26 11 27 11 28 8

| 1 | THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|----|---|
| 2 | (Patient L.G.) |
| 3 | (Repeated Negligent Acts/Inadequate Records) |
| 4 | 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(c) and 2266, in |
| 5 | that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care and treatment of Patient L.G., and failed to |
| 6 | keep adequate and accurate records relating to the patient. The circumstances are as follows: |
| 7 | A. Patient L.G., a 42 year old woman, came under Respondent's care and |
| 8 | treatment on July 24, 2008. At that time, L.G. reported multiple symptoms related to Lyme |
| 9 | disease and gave a history of a positive Western blot test and treatment with doxycycline for the |
| 10 | disorder. |
| 11 | B. At the initial evaluation, Respondent performed a comprehensive physical |
| 12 | examination and recorded the date of the patient's last menstrual period and her vital signs. |
| 13 | However, on each of the nine subsequent visits (and albeit Respondent had undertaken to |
| 14 | prescribe L.G.'s contraceptives as of March 2009), Respondent failed to document the date of |
| 15 | L.G.'s last menstrual period or vital signs. On only one of the intervening visits (which took |
| 16 | place on November 13, 2008) did Respondent document findings on physical examination. |
| 17 | C. On August 31, 2009, Respondent performed an "annual" medical |
| 18 | examination, which included a comprehensive physical examination, the date of the patient's last |
| 19 | menstrual period, and vital signs; thereafter, she returned to her prior pattern of omitting this |
| 20 | documentation and these omissions continued from visit to visit, through June 2010. |
| 21 | D. Over the course of two years, Respondent treated Patient L.G. with |
| 22 | prolonged courses of antibiotics, herbs and supplements; however, she either failed to discuss |
| 23 | and/or to document counseling Patient L.G. on the risk of contraceptive failure while on extended |
| 24 | courses of antibiotics. |
| 25 | 17. Respondent's Physician and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to disciplinary action |
| 26 | pursuant to sections 2234(c) and 2266, in that Respondent was repeatedly negligent in her care |
| 27 | and treatment of L.G., including but not limited to the following: |
| 28 | |
| | 9 |

.

.

,

ACCUSATION (Case No. 19-2010-204971)

| • | |
|----|---|
| 1 | A. On all but two occasions, Respondent failed to record the patient's vital |
| 2 | signs; |
| 3 | B. On all but three occasions, Respondent failed to document findings on |
| 4 | physical examination; |
| 5 | C. Respondent repeatedly failed to record the date of the patient's last period |
| 6 | or the characteristics of her menses; |
| 7 | D. Respondent failed to counsel and/or to document counseling regarding the |
| 8 | potential for contraceptive failure as a consequence of long-term antibiotic therapies. |
| 9 | PRAYER |
| 10 | WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held and that the Board issue an |
| 11 | order: |
| 12 | 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number C50171, |
| 13 | issued to Deborah Metzger, M.D.; |
| 14 | 2. Prohibiting Deborah Metzger, M.D., from supervising a Physician Assistants; |
| 15 | 3. Ordering Deborah Metzger, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the costs of |
| 16 | probation monitoring; |
| 17 | 4. Taking such other and further action as may be deemed proper and appropriate. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | DATED: January 27, 2012 |
| 20 | EXEcutive Director Medical Board of California |
| 21 | Department of Consumer Affairs State of California |
| 22 | Complainant |
| 23 | SF2011200866 |
| 24 | 20438193.doc |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 10 ACCUSATION (Case No. 19-2010-204971) |
| | |

.

.